

Table A**Los Angeles Has Implemented Less Than Half of YJR Recommendations Pertaining to Realigned Youth**

RECOMMENDATION NO.	TEXT OF RECOMMENDATION FROM THE DECEMBER 2020 YOUTH JUSTICE REIMAGINED REPORT*	HAS LOS ANGELES IMPLEMENTED THE RECOMMENDATION? (YES/NO)
1	Create a plan to phase the transition of Probation operations of Secure Alternatives to DJJ to the new Department of Youth Development (DYD) as soon as DYD has capacity.	No
2	Establish and fund a DJJ Youth Advisory Body to ensure the experience of youth impacted by DJJ remains centered in the implementation of SB [Senate Bill] 823, to inform and provide comments on any plan established by the JJCC [Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council] Subcommittee, and to provide recommendations regarding programming for Secure Alternatives to DJJ.	No
3a	Create a subcommittee of the multiagency Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) to immediately follow up on recommendations included in this [YJR] report and to develop a plan for the use of Juvenile Justice Realignment Block Grant [JJRBG] Funds to be allocated to Los Angeles County.	Yes
3b	In line with the DJJ Transition Team's priority to center impacted youth voice and consistent with the motion from the Board of Supervisors in December 2017 to diversify and expand community representation [on] the JJCC, designate no fewer than four seats on the JJCC Subcommittee authorized by SB 823 to community members with experience providing community-based youth services, youth justice advocates, or people with direct experience in the juvenile justice system.	Yes
3c	To promote continuity, designate representatives who participated in the DJJ Transition Team to the JJCC Subcommittee.	Yes
3d	Continue to utilize the services of [an] experienced consultant(s) to support and provide facilitation of the planning process.	Yes
3e	Add [a] representative(s) from YDD [Office of Diversion and Reentry's Division of Youth Diversion and Development] and other relevant county agencies relevant to the implementation of SB 823.	No
3f	Establish a policy for no fewer than once annual convening of the JJCC Subcommittee to review programs and interventions supported by JJRBG funds, data on youth served on the continuum of DJJ alternatives, including the use of Secure Alternatives to DJJ, data on youth prosecuted as adults, available outcome measures data, to receive feedback from the DJJ Youth Advisory Board and to modify their plan as needed.	No
4a	Develop [a] plan for immediate repurposing of the following existing County facilities to serve as Secure Alternative to DJJ, ensuring ideal facility attributes discussed by [the] DJJ Transition Team are considered and implemented: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Campus Kilpatrick by July 2021; ii. A pod within either Campus Kilpatrick or Dorothy Kirby Center to serve girls and youth with acute mental health needs who would otherwise be subject to DJJ by July 2021; and iii. Camp Gonzales by February 2022, if additional capacity is deemed necessary. 	Yes
4b	Develop a policy prohibiting the use of Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall as a long-term post-dispositional track for any youth, including youth otherwise subject to DJJ.	No

RECOMMENDATION NO.	TEXT OF RECOMMENDATION FROM THE DECEMBER 2020 YOUTH JUSTICE REIMAGINED REPORT*	HAS LOS ANGELES IMPLEMENTED THE RECOMMENDATION? (YES/NO)
5a	<p>Direct Probation to immediately conduct a safety and security assessment of any facility or pod under consideration to serve as a Secure Alternative to DJJ, contemplating the following considerations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The existing or potential capacity of staff to establish safety and a sense of security within the facility through cultivating trust, communication and connection, a sense of belonging, and motivation among youth; ii. The existing or potential capacity of programming to inspire and engage youth in opportunities for growth and learning; and iii. The existing level of security versus the needed level of security through physical restraints that minimize the appearance and sense of institutionalization as much as possible; ideas included invisible bars, hidden cameras, high walls, gated grounds with security officers and remoteness from communities. 	No
5b	Direct Probation to request an onsite Title 24 compliance assessment of Camp Gonzales by the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC).	No
5c	Direct Probation, YDD and the Youth Justice Transition Advisory Group (YJTAG) to develop a budget using existing funds or JJRBG funds on any renovations necessary to establish Secure Alternatives to DJJ.	Yes
6a	Continue efforts that reduce the number of youth committed to camp, including the recommendations in YJR to pilot and expand the use of Safe and Secure Healing Centers to serve as alternatives to Camp.	Yes
6b	Direct Probation to implement elements of the LA Model in existing camps, ensuring that youth who previously benefited from any healing-based, care-first approach promoted at Campus Kilpatrick will receive the same benefits in other existing facilities.	Yes
7a	Direct Probation to collaborate with YDD and the YJTAG to immediately develop a plan and corresponding budget to submit to the JJCC subcommittee for the initial recruitment and training of a cohort of Credible Messengers to serve as staff at Secure Alternative to DJJ by July 2021 and to provide mentorship and reentry support for youth stepping down or exiting the facility.	Yes
7b	Direct Probation to collaborate with YDD and the YJTAG to develop a plan to ensure staffing of Secure Alternatives to DJJ prioritizes backgrounds in social work and cultural healing practices.	No
7c	Direct YDD and a new DYD, as it is erected, to continue to expand Credible Messengers beyond an initial pilot as part of a core staffing model of the continuum of DJJ alternatives, including Secure Alternatives to DJJ.	Yes
8a	<p>Develop a plan to incorporate the influence of YES [Youth Empowerment and Support] Teams in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Court Dispositions for youth adjudicated of offenses enumerated in W.I.C. [Welfare and Institutions Code] 707(b) ii. Individual Treatment Plans for youth committed to Secure Alternatives to DJJ iii. Progress Reports for youth committed to Secure Alternatives to DJJ iv. Reentry Planning 	No
8b	Create a process for Step Down, as permissible by law, for youth committed to Secure Alternatives to DJJ, allowing youth to step down to home-like, therapeutic settings such as Safe and Secure Healing Centers or community-rooted supports and supervision as soon as a youth makes progress and demonstrates the ability to program successfully in a less restrictive setting.	Yes
9a	Direct Probation, YDD, and the YJTAG along with the DJJ Youth Advisory Group to further flesh out the programming to be provided within the DJJ Alternative and develop corresponding budget projections.	Yes
9b	Direct Probation, YDD and the YJTAG to develop a plan, including a budget, to ensure transportation for family visitation at the Secure Alternatives to DJJ given their remote locations. Family visitation is vital to ensure ongoing contact, connection and relationship-building between youth and their families—contributing to their overall wellbeing during and after commitments.	No
9c	Direct Probation, YDD and the YJTAG in partnership with the JJCC to identify and resource the needed specialization in programming based on offense types and youth needs, including for girls and youth accused of sex-related offenses.	No

RECOMMENDATION NO.	TEXT OF RECOMMENDATION FROM THE DECEMBER 2020 YOUTH JUSTICE REIMAGINED REPORT*	HAS LOS ANGELES IMPLEMENTED THE RECOMMENDATION? (YES/NO)
10a	<p>To ensure that there are no net-widening effects in the implementation of Secure Alternative to DJJ and that the significant racial and ethnic disparities so pronounced in previous commitments to DJJ and adult court prosecutions in Los Angeles County are not replicated with the implementation [of] local alternatives, collect and make publicly available reports with data disaggregated by race/ethnicity, gender, and age at alleged offense and most serious adjudicated offense regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Youth eligible for Secure Alternative to DJJ ii. Youth committed to Secure Alternative to DJJ iii. Youth for whom a motion for transfer to adult court is filed iv. Youth with a transfer hearing v. Youth transferred to adult court 	No
10b	Collect and make publicly available data regarding dispositional outcomes for all youth adjudicated of offenses enumerated in WIC 707(b) disaggregated by disposition, race/ethnicity, gender and age at alleged offense.	No
10c	Collect and make publicly available data regarding sentences of youth prosecuted as adults disaggregated by most serious offense convicted, adult court disposition, race/ethnicity, gender, and age at alleged offense.	No
10d	Develop a strategy for collecting, analyzing and making publicly available key outcome data for youth served by the continuum of alternatives to DJJ, including Secure Alternatives to DJJ.	No

Source: December 2020 YJR report, various documents obtained from county departments including Probation and DYD, and interviews with Probation staff.

* With the exception of slight modifications we made for clarity, which are noted by bracketed text, the text of these recommendations are stated as they appear in the December 2020 YJR report.