

#10



COMPLETE

PAGE 2: Validation Section

Q1: 1: Please enter the email address for the individual who originally received the link to this survey. Your email address will be used to validate your response and to identify duplicate responses.

Q2: Please enter your numeric survey code. The survey code was provided to you in the email that contained the link to this survey.

PAGE 3: Evaluation Section

Q3: Would your campus change the way the Office of the President calculates campus assessments? If so, how?

The current methodology is reasonable and was extensively vetted with Vice Chancellors for Planning and Budget Officers and others. It was changed in 15/16 from what was previously entirely based on campus proportional share of expenditures to one that is more representative of a few key drivers: 1/3 share of expenditures, 1/3 share actual enrollment, 1/3 personnel.

Q4: Would your campus change anything about the campus assessment process? If so, include any comments about the timing, coordination, transparency, or any other aspect of the process that you would change.

Ideally we would want to keep the assessment amount flat with a small, predictable inflation factor. This would help campuses and OP to plan over a multi-year period. Early in the February to March timeframe would be best time to communicate as campuses are kicking off budget planning processes for upcoming year.

Q5: Do you believe the amount you pay to the Office of the President fairly represents the value of the services you receive from the Office of the President and the systemwide programs you use? Please explain your response.

The work that OP performs does benefit campuses, some of it in terms of direct services to the campuses (example: cash management, borrowing, complex real estate transactions), some of it in terms of system-wide functions (UCRP, GEP, government relations). Some services are more extensively used by some locations: smaller campuses tend to rely more heavily on OP support. A more systematic understanding of all services in terms of benefit and cost to campuses would be beneficial.

Q6: More specifically, since the time that the campus assessment process went into effect in fiscal year 2011-12, to what degree do you feel that the Office of the President calculates campus assessments in a way that reflects your actual use of Office of the President services provided to your campus?

The campus assessment reflects the number of services my campus uses.

Q7: Did the Funding Streams Initiative have an impact on your campus's ability to budget effectively? If so, how?

Funding streams has improved our ability to project, budget, and drive the growth of our own revenue streams. It has also improved our ability to establish simple revenue sharing models with campus units which has also incentivized revenue generation and cost containment.

Q8: What is your perspective regarding the stability of the campus assessment amount?

The OP operations budget was relatively stable until recently where there were increases to help fund additional initiatives.

Q9: How does the campus assessment effect long-term financial planning at your campus?

The OP assessment has already been built into our budget, but unplanned increases would more of a concern.

Q10: Please choose one box to rate your campus's current satisfaction with the Office of the President's...

Collaboration with your campus related to the total campus assessment amount.	Satisfied
Collaboration with your campus related to the formula for the campus assessment distribution among all University of California campuses.	Very Satisfied
Process for announcing when your campus must pay the campus assessment.	Satisfied
Transparency regarding what the campus assessment pays for within the Office of the President.	Satisfied
Guidance on what funds are appropriate for paying the campus assessment.	Very Satisfied
Coordination with your budget unit regarding the impact of campus assessment increases or decreases.	Satisfied

Q11: Do you have any additional comments or clarifications regarding your ratings for the question above?

We would ideally like the communication of the annual assessment to happen in February to March timeframe to better align with local budget planning for the upcoming year.

PAGE 4: Concluding Section

Q12: Do you have any additional comments or information that you believe is relevant and useful to our audit?

San Diego is a well-established and fairly independent campus that relies less on direct support from OP. However, as noted above, we have understood from the beginning that the OP overhead assessment was not intended to represent a fee for service but a suite of UC-wide functions that benefit all.
